

## Parish of Arne

## Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Meeting 17<sup>th</sup> April 2014,

**Present:** Cllrs A Pellegrini (AP) - Chair, R Scragg (RS), B Kenward (BK), C Macleod

(CM), V Ward (VW), A Crocker (AC), R Kenward (RK), D Hunter (DH), I

Jenkins (IJ), A Pugsley

**Apologies:** M Frenchman (MF)

AP opened by saying this would be an informal meeting in order to tie up the various issues ready for the Information Day on the 24<sup>th</sup>.

AP reported that he has spoken to Keith Childs, PDC. The format of the evening would be:

7pm open with an informal introduction from AP

- Keith Childs presentation
- Q & A with Keith
- Change of seating arrangements and interactive boards put in place
- Refreshments (to be organised by the WI)
- Follow up regarding responses to interactive boards.

Popp had asked to set up a stall at this event but it was felt that this was not the appropriate format and he would be invited to speak at the Parish Council AGM.

AC to get red, yellow and green dots.

AP will ask Tradewinds to produce the Vision in A3 size

Questions to be on A4 size – one question per sheet.

CM reported that she intended to do the Transport setting as an acronym with suggestions including speed, closures, etc. She felt that this should be a trigger for people to put suggestions forward.

IJ has had a first meeting with his group and has come up with 3 questions.

DH – asked if AP would, in his introduction, explain that there would be a series of thought provoking questions that we would invite their response to. We need to make it clear how the post-information ideas would be filtered out to everyone. Perhaps this could be via the various groups – leave e-mail address.

Comment sheets to be available on the night with Clerk's address and e-mail details.

IJ – after the second half of the meeting, members of the sub-committee could be at the station to assist with any queries people may have. Police and Law: IJ ran through the 3 questions they have – never see a police officer in Arne/speeding/traffic calming.

AP has had to deal with 2 members of working groups – one felt the bureaucracy was too great and another wanted to know exactly what was expected of them. It was suggested that it is made clear to people that it is their thoughts that are needed and if they do not want to be on a committee, they could be sent information via the e-mail.

Ouestions that have been sent it so far:

AP - 6 questions from the housing group. BK reported that these were taken directly from the minutes of their meeting and need to be amended slightly so they are less leading. All the questions were discussed and some amendments made. The final list of questions is shown as an appendix to these minutes.

MF was unable to attend but had sent in some suggested questions. It was felt that most would be seen as too leading and did not invite comment other than yes or no. Some guidance may be required, e.g. rural isolation; how can this be alleviated for the elderly and infirm? AP will go back to MF and see if he can sort out some more headings.

DH has 8 - 10 questions regarding the business group. These were discussed.

Business & commerce – DH said his would be business led rather than employment led.

Environment – RK spoke on behalf of VW. Deer management. Heathland damage and its influence over plans for access and building. Dog friendly areas. Preparation for extreme weather. Littering and fly tipping. Loss of services during extreme circumstances.

Education, leisure & youth – AP read out 5 questions.

Organisation of the meeting:

Housing is going to be the main issue so perhaps this should take centre stage with the other groups positioned around.

All members are asked to pay attention to the chairman on the evening and all groups start and finish together.

RS asked about Nutcrack Lane/crossroads. CM and RS to liaise.

Groups to meet at 4pm at the hall to set up and then depart. Keith Childs has offered to bring the display boards over at 4pm.

Meeting closed at 18:58pm

## **Housing Questions**

- 1. Which of the SHLAA (Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment) sites, acceptable to PDC, would you prefer to be used for housing? Should more than one be used or limited housing spread around more than one?
- 2. Should new houses have gardens or access to more allotment, or both?
- 3. Which is preferable a large housing development by a major developer or small scale developments, which could enable local economic development for small builders and other artisans?
- 4. Should Planning Policy allow and encourage alterations in existing properties to make it easier for more than one adult generation to live together and care for one another?
- 5. How many additional houses do you believe should be built in Arne other the next 13 years (until 2027) and what proportion of these should be affordable?