



**ARNE PARISH COUNCIL NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN STEERING GROUP MEETING HELD TUESDAY,
2nd JUNE 2016 AT STOBOROUGH VILLAGE HALL**

Present: Ashley Pellegrini (AP), Ray Scragg (RS), Avris Wakefield-Sutton (AWS), Don Hunter (DH), Amanda Crocker (AC), Vivienne Ward (VW), Ian Jenkins (IJ)

1. Apologies for absence

Apologies received from Richard Bessant (RB).

2. Minutes of the April and May meetings

The minutes of both meetings were signed by AP.

3. Matters arising

AP highlighted the comment in the May meeting regarding the SG's agreement to support the Housing Group's recommendation for the two development sites in West Lane. In the light of the recently released PDC preferred options, the SG still feel we will continue to support the Housing Group's recommendation.

4. Composition of the Steering Group

AP reported that both Robert and Bridget Kenward have resigned from the NP Steering Group. RK's resignation letter was read out to members. AP has sent an e-mail of thanks to both RK and BK and confirmed that, as per their request, they will not be mentioned in the NP final document. Additionally, their names will be removed from the Parish Council's responsibilities list.

AP felt that we need to carry on with the NP and that we should be guided by the residents.

AW-S – what is going to happen with the two areas, following the Preferred Options report? AP has contacted both developers and they have previously been lined up to submit some information for the public consultation days.

IJ – he would be happy with the two proposed developments at West Lane but would be fully opposed to the Worgret development and, he believed, many local residents would feel the same.

DH – we can honestly and openly state that we have been through the process of examining each of the SHLAAs within the parish against a set of criteria and came up with the two proposals on West Lane. The rest were rejected. The June public meetings were originally intended to show scenarios for the two sites but, now that PDC has shown that Worgret is a preferred option, we cannot ignore it. If Worgret is likely to go ahead regardless, we need to consider it. He agrees that we should continue with the NP and pursue the two sites and consider Worgret.

AW-S – are we looking at an either/or situation or would it be possible to keep the two sites as well?

AP – he understands that it is the view of some that, if the Worgret site goes ahead, there is no point in pursuing the other two sites or, indeed, the NP.

However, if we do not continue with the NP we are in danger of development by Appeal.

VW – did the Housing Group get as far as considering the types of houses, etc? Should we take this opportunity to make as much as possible for the elderly, affordable housing, single accommodation, etc?

DH – this point has been pressed home by the Housing Group and the developers are very aware of this.

We need to look at increasing the number of members on the Steering Group as we have lost Anne Pugsley, Robert and Bridget Kenward and Caroline. AP suggested inviting Phil Love to assist at the drop-in sessions, and to be a member of the Steering Group. This was approved. It was also agreed that a member of the Stoborough Meadow Residents' Association is invited to join the Steering Group. The Clerk will contact John Ives of the Residents' Association and AP will speak to Phil Love.

ACTION: AP/CLERK

Ideally, we need another four members to bring the numbers back up to about 12.

The Housing Group has been disbanded and AP would like to reinstate it. After discussion, it was felt that it was not necessary to reinstate it as they had done the work that was needed of them and the Steering Group could now take over the responsibility.

AP proposed abolishing the Writing Group and allowing the Review Group to take on the role. The group currently consists of DH, RS and AP. AW-S will join this group and the main additional requirement is to meet with Jo Witherden on occasion.

The drop-in sessions will still go ahead and AP will confirm this with the developers. Worgret will be included in the drop-ins but as a static display. Anna Lee, PDC, has said she will be able to provide more information regarding the site. DH asked if the Worgret developer has any schematics that could be used. It is understood that schematics are available and AP has requested that PDC keeps the Parish Council copied into any discussions regarding the site.

We may need a few trigger questions for the evening which could be put up around the hall and residents would be encouraged to respond using post-it notes.

RS suggested meeting at the Corn Exchange, Wareham, on the 14th June to see the Worgret proposal and then discuss the trigger questions.

AP – the developers of the West Lane sites will need to be told that we will have a static display regarding Worgret and he will e-mail them accordingly.

It may be possible to have a member of PDC available but this has yet to be confirmed.

VW – would we be able to have forms on the night to show the pros and cons for the site (Worgret). No – this may be considered as leading people to our desired conclusion. We can have post-it notes about the room.

AP – how will we let everyone know of the drop-in sessions?

- Leaflet drop to every household
- Posters on the notice boards
- 1 advert in the Advertiser closer to the date

The Worgret site should be mentioned in any flier drawn up.

A rota is to be sorted out for the evenings.

The Review Group will meet with Jo Witherden on Friday 17th June, location and time to be arranged.

Anne Lee acknowledged the £25,000 Central Government grant. £5,000 had already been given to the Parish Council, the remainder would be available to PDC upon successful completion of the NP. DH did ask for a schedule of costs regarding the £20,000, mainly to stress that we wished to ensure we are getting full value for money, but it is very unlikely that this would be available.

DH also reported that he had asked Anna Lee if there was any intention to expand the boundary of Wareham to take in the Worgret site. There is no suggestion of this at the moment.

IJ reported that a colleague of his was holding some information regarding the necessity of better roads before any further development was considered. AP will speak to RB and ask him if would follow this up. **ACTION: RB**

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 19:20pm.